


Pipistrellus maderensis

1. National level

Biogeographical regions and/or marine regions concerned within the Member State: **MAC**

map-range

map-distribution



map-favourable-range



2. Biogeographical or marine level

2.1 Biogeographical region or marine region: **MACARONESIAN**

2.2 Published sources and/or websites:

http://www.mma.es/portal/secciones/biodiversidad/inventarios/inb/atlas_mamiferos/pdf/35_Rhino.pdf

FAJARDO, S & J. BENZAL (2002). Datos sobre la distribución de quirópteros en Canarias (Mammalia: Chiroptera). Vieraea. Vol. 30: 213 - 230.

D. TRUJILLO (1991). Los Murciélagos de Las Islas Canarias. Icona. Col. Técnica. 167 pp.

2.3 Range of the species type in the biogeographic region or marine region

2.3.1 Surface area of species range in km2: 3000

2.3.2 Date of range determination: 2002

2.3.3 Quality of data concerning range:

2.3.4 Range trend: Stable (=)

2.3.5 Range trend magnitude in km2 (optional):

Pipistrellus maderensis

2.3.6 Range trend period:	1980-2002
2.3.7 Reasons for reported trend:	Natural processes
and/or specify	

2.4 Population of the species in the biogeographic region or marine region

2.4.1 Population size estimation:	Population size estimation (minimum)	Population size estimation (maximum)	Population units
	0	0	
2.4.2 Date of population estimation:			
2.4.3 Methods used for population estimation:			
2.4.4 Quality of data on area:			
2.4.5 Population trend:	Stable (=)		
2.4.6 Population trend magnitude (km2):			
2.4.7 Population trend period:	1980-2002		
2.4.8 Reasons for reported trend:	Not applicable		
and/or specify:			
2.4.9 Justification of % thresholds for trends (optional):			
2.4.10 Main pressures:	110 Use of pesticides		
	400 Urbanised areas, human habitation		
2.4.11 Threats	110 Use of pesticides		
	400 Urbanised areas, human habitation		

2,5 Habitat for the species in the biogeographic region or marine region

2.5.1 Habitats for the species:	Ubiquista. Utiliza grietas en paredes rocosas y barrancos, casas abandonadas o ha
2.5.2 Area estimation (km2):	1800
2.5.3 Date of estimation:	2002
2.5.4 Quality of the data:	Moderate e.g. based on partial data with some extrapolation
2.5.5 Trend of the habitat:	Stable (=)
2.5.6 Trend period:	1970-2006
2.5.7 Reasons for reported trend:	NotApplicable
Other (specify):	

2.6 Future prospects for the species:	Good prospects - species expected to survive and prosper
---------------------------------------	--

2.7 Complementary information

2.7.1 Favourable reference range (km2):	1625
2.7.2 Favourable reference population:	
2.7.3 Suitable habitat for the species (km2):	1625
2.7.4 Other relevant information (optional):	

Conclusion	Biogeographical or marine level	Conclusions within Natura 2000 sites (optional)
Conclusions: (2.3) Range:	Inadequate but improving (U1+)	
Conclusions: (2.4) Population:	Favourable (FV)	
Conclusions: (2.5) Habitat for the species:	Favourable (FV)	
Conclusions: (2.6) Future prospects:	Favourable (FV)	

Pipistrellus maderensis

Conclusions: Overall assessment:

Favourable (FV)